An untranslated particle in Hebrew often described in grammars (somewhat superficially) as the sign of the direct object after a transitive verb. Its origin is unknown. The prevailing view is that 'ēt was originally a noun meaning "essence, substance, self," a significance which it subsequently lost in the historical development of the language.

When 'ēt is used to signify an accusative (nota accusativi) it is generally, though not always, in prose and when the object of the verb is determined by the definite article. More important than indicating an accusative, the function of 'ēt is to emphasize the word to which it is attached.

For this reason one observes that 'ēt is used not only with the accusative but with the subject (nota nominativi) of both intransitive and passive verbs. Copious illustrations could be offered but two will suffice. With 'ēt as subject of an intransitive verb compare 2Kings 6:5; "The axe-head fell into the water" (we 'et- abbarzel nāpal 'elhammāyim). With 'et as subject of a passive verb compare Gen 17:5; "And your name ('et-shimkâ) shall no more be called Abram."

To summarize, 'ēt was originally a substantive, and was used to emphasize the noun to which it was prefixed. In the course of time the emphatic meaning was lost and 'ēt became a particle with no special meaning.

Bibliography: On a similar particle in other Semitic languages and texts: Andersen, F. I., "Moabite Syntax," *Orientalia* 35: 117-18. Leahy, T., "Studies in the Syntax of 1QS," *Bib* 41: 152-54.

On Biblical Hebrew 'et: Hoftijzer, J., "Remarks Concerning the Use of the Particle 't in Classical Hebrew," Oudtestamentische Studien 14: 1-99. MacDonald, J., "The Particle 't in Classical Hebrew," VT 14: 263-75. Saydon, P. P., "Meanings and Uses of the Particle 't," VT 14: 192-210. Walker, N., "Concerning the Function of 'eth," VT 5: 314-15. V.P.H.

[©] Moody Bible Institute of Chicago, 1980. Reproduced here with permission.